

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/044,959	01/15/2002	Ippei Nakamura	003510-116	1529
7590 06/18/2004 Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			CHU, JOHN S Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Supplemental	10/044,959	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John S. Chu	1752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication and All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOLNOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	85) or other appropriate commu	this application. If not included		
1. X This communication is responsive to <u>5/12/04</u> .				
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7,9-13 and 15-30</u> .				
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Exam	iner.			
4. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents had 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	ave been received.			
2. Copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application	n No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	; ;			
* Certified copies not received:	<u> </u>)		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATI noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	E" of this communication to file NMENT of this application.	a reply complying with the requirements		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g	ives reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") m	ust be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe	erson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) attached		
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	·			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	er's Amendment / Comment or i	n the Office action of		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the	drawings in the front (not the back) of		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	DIAL Al		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 🏻 Notice of Info	rmal Patant Application (DTO 100)		
 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)6. ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413),		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No./Mail Date 	Paper No./M	Paper No./Mail Date <u>5/12/04</u> . 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment		

John S. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752

8. \boxtimes Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

of Biological Material

Paper No./Mail Date ______
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit

9. Other ____.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Cancel claims 8 and 14 as drawn to the non-elected invention elected without traverse.

SUPPLEMENTAL REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claimed invention is drawn to the following:
 - 1. A negative image-recording material for heat-mode exposure systems, which comprises (A) an IR absorbent including cyanine dye having an electron-withdrawing group or a heavy atom-containing substituent in at least one terminal aromatic ring, (B) a radical generator and (C) a radically-polymerizable compound, wherein images are formed therein by imagewise exposure to IR rays.

The inventive step of the claimed negative image-recording material is found in IR absorbent including cyanine dye having an electron-withdrawing group or a heavy atom-containing substituent in at least one terminal aromatic ring.

Prior art references disclosing the a negative image-recording material cited include AOSHIMA, KUNITA and HAUCK et al. Each of these references disclose the use of an IR

Application/Control Number: 10/044,959

Art Unit: 1752

absorbent material being a cyanine dye as disclosed, however none of them disclose the claimed IR absorbent cyanine dye having an electron-withdrawing group or a heavy atom-containing substituent. The examiner relies on the definition of a heavy atom-containing substituent to be defined on page 16, second paragraph of the specification wherein the heavy atom is defined having an atomic weight of at least 28.

Each of AOSHIMA, KUNITA and HAUCK et al disclose conventional IR absorbent cyanine dyes with no substituent on the terminal aromatic group in an explicit example.

KUNITA and HAUCK et al each disclose IR absorbent cyanine dyes which having a chloride substituent group on the terminal aromatic ring and a compound which is seen as the defined heavy atom-containing group as recited in claim 1, however none of the examples in KUNITA or HAUCK et al explicitly disclose use of the terminal substituted aromatic cyanine dye as claimed thus the references fall under the statue of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness.

The applicants have provided in their specification comparative results between the claimed IR cyanine dyes and those conventional disclosed such as IR-775 chloride and IR-786 perchlorate used in the specification. The comparative results indicated a printing plate that is formed with the claimed IR absorbent showed an improved printing durability over those printing plates which used the conventional cyanine dyes stated above.

Because of the objective evidence present in the specification, which compares the closest prior art IR dyes of KUNITA and HAUCK et al used in printing plates with the claimed IR dyes used in printing plates, demonstrated improved print durability of the plates any rejection under 356 U.S.C. 103(a) would be overcome due to secondary consideration of

Art Unit: 1752

unexpected results. Accordingly claims 1-7, 9-13, 15-30 are seen as allowable over the prior art of record and passed to issue.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

John S. Chu

Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu

March 17, 2004

May 12, 2004(correction to cancelled claim and allowed claims)